Discourse on gender-fair language in German newspapers

Gaul, Mx
University of Bremen, Germany
mx.gaul@uni-bremen.de

At the conference's inaugural event, journalist Christine Olderdissen argued that the German media had 'Gendern totgequatscht' – or, in English, 'jawed gender-fair language to death'. This does not mean, however, that the German media have ceased to talk about gender-fair linguistic practices (henceforth *gendern*) in the meantime, given that topics around gender have become a battleground for culture wars around the world (Borba 2022).

Germany is no exception to this development from which gender-fair language cannot be separated. Beyond media debates around usefulness, advocacy, and disapproval, a political dimension has recently emerged, as evidenced by federal bans on certain forms of *gendern* in public institutions across multiple German states – another form of death.

In this context, it is appropriate to examine the representation of the key concept *gendern* in German newspapers, thereby complementing extant research on the use (e.g., Müller-Spitzer et al. 2024) and perception (e.g., Friedrich et al. 2021) of gender-fair German. The present data derive from two German newspaper corpora of all articles from the Nexus Uni database containing the search string *gender*, one from 2013 and one from 2023, which were compiled for a larger dissertation project.

For the current talk, instances of the term gendern from the two corpora will be investigated within the framework of Critical Discourse Analysis (Fairclough 2015; Wodak 2002). The investigation will commence with a quantitative analysis, which will be followed by a collocation analysis to inform the qualitative inquiry. In the next step, the concordance lines with the node gendern will be systematically analysed and categorised (n=17 for 2013; n=2385 for 2023).

The primary objective is the examination of coherent conceptualisations of *gendern* in the given corpora, with a main focus on the more recent one. In doing so, I aim to answer the question of whether the public discourse around *gendern* is really about linguistic practice alone, or whether *gendern* has become a straw man for a larger political discourse between freedom and authoritarianism.

References

- Borba, R. (2022). Enregistering "Gender Ideology": The Emergence and Circulation of a Transnational Anti-Gender Language. In: Journal of Language and Sexuality 11(1), 57–79.
- Fairclough, N. (2015). Language and Power. London: Routledge.
- Friedrich, M. C. G., Drößler, V., Oberlehberg, N. & Heise, E. (2021). The Influence of the Gender Asterisk ("Gendersternchen") on Comprehensibility and Interest. In: Frontiers in Psychology 12(760062).
- Müller-Spitzer, C., Ochs, S., Koplenig, A., Rüdiger, J. O. & Wolfer, S. (2024). Less than One Percent of Words Would Be Affected by Gender-Inclusive Language in German Press Texts. In: Humanities and Social Sciences Communications 11(1343).
- Wodak, R. (2002). Aspects of Critical Discourse Analysis. In: Zeitschrift für Angewandte Linguistik 36, 5–31.