

# How Non-Referential Masculine Forms Shape Gender Representation in German

Anne Rosar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institut für Geschichtliche Landeskunde Rheinland-Pfalz*

This study investigates the role of linguistic and extralinguistic factors in shaping gender representations induced by masculine person-denoting nouns (such as *Schriftsteller* ‘writer’, *Hundehalter* ‘dog owner’, *Bayer* ‘Bavarian’) and indefinite pronouns (e.g. *jeder* ‘everyone’, *jemand* ‘someone’). Unlike many previous studies (cf. Stahlberg & Sczesny 2001; Heise 2003; Hermeler 2021), this study exclusively examines non-referential, generically used masculine forms. Since German grammar reinforces the binary distinction between feminine and masculine categories, this study does not account for non-binary representations (see Zacharski & Ferstl 2023; Ferstl & Nübling 2024 for further discussion). The research is conducted within the framework of a DFG-funded interdisciplinary project on gendered person references (project number 456835372).

Previous psycholinguistic research has repeatedly shown that masculine generics, though intended as gender-inclusive, are predominantly interpreted as male (e.g. Irmens & Köhncke 1996; Irmens & Roßberg 2004; De Backer & De Cuypere 2012). Key influencing factors include referentiality (the more referential a term, the stronger the male bias, cf. Doleschal 2002: 39; Diewald 2018: 286; Kotthoff & Nübling 2024: 105) and number (singular forms are more likely to evoke male representations). Additionally, the lexical or contextual degree of gender-marking plays a role; for example, stereotypically male *Fußballspieler* ‘football player’ presumably induces stronger male associations than stereotypically female *Kindergärtner* ‘kindergarten teacher’ (cf. Misersky et al. 2014). Other relevant factors include the distinction between occupational (e.g. *Psychiater* ‘psychiatrist’, *Friseur* ‘hairdresser’) and non-occupational roles (*Jogger*, *Weinkenner* ‘wine connoisseur’), as well as participants’ gender. The complex interplay of these factors has not yet been systematically examined for German.

Using experimental methods such as online surveys with sentence completion and rating tasks, the study shows that while generic masculine nouns possess gender-inclusive potential, their interpretation is highly context-dependent. Women are more likely to be considered when the context involves stereotypically female activities and when plural forms and non-occupational roles are used. In contrast, indefinite pronouns such as *jeder* are more likely to be interpreted as gender-inclusive. However, once embedded in gendered contexts, indefinite pronouns shift towards a male reading (*Schweinshaxe essen* ‘eating pork knuckle’, *Fußball spielen* ‘playing soccer’) or female reading (*Prosecco trinken* ‘drinking prosecco’, *Yoga machen* ‘doing yoga’). An own-gender bias is evident, with men tending to interpret generic masculines as exclusively male.

This study provides new insights into the social function of grammatical structures, offering both a theoretical and empirical contribution to a more nuanced discussion on so-called generic masculines. Practical implications of the findings will be discussed, along with methodological challenges and limitations, such as the difficulty of accounting for individual background knowledge or personal writing and speaking styles in experimental designs.

## References

- De Backer, Maarten & Ludovic De Cuypere. 2012. The interpretation of masculine personal nouns in German and Dutch: a comparative experimental study. *Language Sciences* 34. 253–268.
- Diewald, Gabriele. 2018. Zur Diskussion: Geschlechtergerechte Sprache als Thema der germanistischen Linguistik – exemplarisch exerziert am Streit um das sogenannte generische Maskulinum. *Zeitschrift für Germanistische Linguistik* 46(2). 283–299.
- Doleschal, U. 2002. Das generische Maskulinum im Deutschen. Ein historischer Spaziergang durch die deutsche Grammatikschreibung von der Renaissance bis zur Postmoderne. *Linguistik Online* 11(2). 39–70.
- Ferstl, Evelyn & Damaris Nübling. 2024. Sonderzeichen als typographische Kennzeichnung geschlechtersensiblen Sprachgebrauchs. In Sabine Krome, Mechthild Habermann, Henning Lobin & Angelika Wöllstein (eds.), *Orthographie in Wissenschaft und Gesellschaft*, 259–284. De Gruyter.
- Heise, Elke. 2003. Auch einfühlsame Studenten sind Männer: Das generische Maskulinum und die mentale Repräsentation von Personen. *Verhaltenstherapie & psychosoziale Praxis* 35(2). 285–291.
- Hermeler, Jeanette. 2021. Die Assoziation von Geschlecht bei Personenbezeichnungen ohne gebräuchliche feminine Ableitung. *Muttersprache* 131(3). 270–289.
- Irmens, Lisa & Astrid Köhncke. 1996. Zur Psychologie des "generischen" Maskulinums. *Sprache & Kognition* 15(3). 152–166.
- Irmens, Lisa & Nadja Roßberg. 2004. Gender Markedness of Language. The Impact of Grammatical and Nonlinguistic Information on the Mental Representation of Person Information. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 23(3). 272–307.
- Kotthoff, Helga & Damaris Nübling. 2024. *Genderlinguistik: Eine Einführung in Sprache, Gespräch und Geschlecht*, 2nd edn. (Narr Studienbücher). Tübingen: Narr Francke Attempto.
- Misersky, Julia, Pascal M. Gygax, Paolo Canal, Ute Gabriel, Alan Garnham, Friederike Braun, Tania Chiarini, Kjellrun Englund, Adriana Hanulíková, Anton Öttl, Jana Valdrová, Lisa von Stockhausen & Sabine Sczesny. 2014. Norms on the gender perception of role nouns in Czech, English, French, German, Italian, Norwegian, and Slovak. *Behavior Research Methods* 46(3). 841–871.
- Stahlberg, Dagmar & Sabine Sczesny. 2001. Effekte des generischen Maskulinums und alternativer Sprachformen auf den gedanklichen Einbezug von Frauen. *Psychologische Rundschau* 52(3). 131–140.
- Zacharski, Lisa & Evelyn Ferstl. 2023. Gendered Representations of Person Referents Activated by the Nonbinary Gender Star in German: A Word-Picture Matching Task. *Discourse Processes* 60(H. 4–5). 294–319.